

#17 / Request  
Recons.

FAX COPY RECEIVED

AUG 27 2001 IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In the application of:  
TESERES et al.

S.N.: 09/218308

Filed: 12/22/98

For: Protective Device for Printers

Box Fee  
Assistant Commissioner of Patents  
Washington, D.C. 20231

A.U.: 2854

Examiner: Nguyen

FAX COPY RECEIVED

AUG 27 2001

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800

8-30-01

L. Spennell

Certificate of Transmission under 37 CFR 1.8  
I hereby certify that this correspondence is being  
facsimile transmitted to the United States Patent  
Office on 8/27/2001

Signature

Glenn L. Webb

Typed name of person signing Certificate

**REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION**

Dear Sir:

The Applicant hereby requests that the outstanding Office Action be reconsidered  
in view of the following remarks

**Remarks**

Claims 1 - 4, 6 - 14 and 16 - 22 have been canceled. New claims 23 - 47 have  
been added. No new matter has been added.

**Claim Rejections - 35 U.S.C. §103**

Previously, claims 1-4, and 8 - 10 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as being  
unpatentable over each of the patents to Frick and Otsubo.. The Office Action stated that:

"Each of the patents to Frick and Otsubo teaches a protective device which renders  
obvious the structure as broadly claimed. Frick teaches a protective device 7 having a hood 8  
covering a document feed path opening, and an access opening 11 that allows the document 12 to  
be fed out as shown in Fig. 1. Otsubo teaches a protective device having a hood 1a which covers  
the document feed path opening and an access opening 7 for providing access to the document 5  
which is just printed from a print device as shown in Figs. 1-7 and 9 of Otsubo. *Each of the  
patents to Frick and Otsubo fail to clearly teach the protective device airborne particles.*  
However one of ordinary skill in the art would have used the protective device which reduces  
noise of Frick or Otsubo (soundproof protective device) for protecting dispensing devices or  
printers from airborne particles or dust or environmental contamination. With respect to claims 3